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Introduction: Kamiokande - the starting point -
In the late 1970’s, new theories that unify Strong, Weak and Electromagnetic forces were proposed. These theories predicted that protons and neutrons (i.e., nucleons) should decay with the lifetime of about $10^{28}$ to $10^{32}$ years.

Several proton decay experiments began in the early 1980’s. One of them was the Kamiokande experiment.
What are neutrinos?

- Neutrinos;
  - are fundamental particles like electrons and quarks,
  - have no electric charge,
  - have 3 types (flavors), namely electron-neutrinos ($\nu_e$), muon-neutrinos ($\nu_\mu$) and tau-neutrinos ($\nu_\tau$),
  - are produced in various places, such as the Earth’s atmosphere, the center of the Sun, ...
  - can easily penetrate through the Earth, the Sun...
  - can, however, interact with matter very rarely. A $\nu_\mu$ produces a muon. A $\nu_e$ produces an electron.

- In the very successful Standard Model of particle physics, neutrinos are assumed to have no mass.
- However, physicists have been asking neutrinos really have no mass.
Atmospheric neutrino deficit
I got my PhD in March 1986 based on a search for proton decay. (I did not find any evidence for proton decay.)

I felt that the analysis software was not good enough to select the signal (proton decays) from the background (atmospheric neutrino interactions) most efficiently. Therefore, as soon as I submitted my thesis, I began to work to improve the software.

One of them was an analysis software to identify the particle type for multi Cherenkov-ring events. Namely, we wanted to know if each Cherenkov ring in a multi-ring event is produced by an electron or a muon.

The new software was applied to single Cherenkov-ring events, which were the easiest events to analyze....

Multi-ring event observed in Kamiokande.
The neutrino flavor was studied for the atmospheric neutrino events.

The result was strange. The number of $\nu_\mu$ events was much fewer than expected.

At first, I thought that I made some serious mistake.

In order to know where I made mistake, I decided to scan the real events. Immediately, I realized that the analysis software was right (!).

I thought that it is very likely that there are some mistakes somewhere in the simulation, data reduction, and/or event reconstruction.

We, mostly M. Takita and TK, started various studies to find mistakes in the late 1986.
Result on the $\nu_\mu$ deficit (1988)

After more than one year of studies, we concluded that the $\nu_\mu$ deficit cannot be due to any major problem in the data analysis nor the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_e$ events</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\mu$ events</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>144.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paper conclusion: “We are unable to explain the data as the result of systematic detector effects or uncertainties in the atmospheric neutrino fluxes. Some as-yet-unaccounted-for physics such as neutrino oscillations might explain the data.”

My personal memory:
I was most excited with the possibility of neutrino oscillations with large mixing angle. Namely, $\nu_\mu$ seemed to oscillate maximally to the other neutrino type, which was not expected. This gave me the strong motivation to continue the study.
Neutrino oscillations

If neutrinos have masses, neutrinos change their flavor (type) from one flavor (type) to the other. For example, oscillations could occur between $\nu_\mu$ and $\nu_\tau$.

Probability:

$\nu_\mu$ to remain $\nu_\mu$

$\nu_\mu$ to $\nu_\tau$

Theoretically predicted by:

S. Sakata, Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa

$L$ is the neutrino flight length (km), $E$ is the neutrino energy (GeV).

If neutrino mass is smaller, the oscillation length ($L/E$) gets longer.
Results from IMB on the $\nu_\mu$ deficit

IMB experiment, which was another large water Cherenkov detector, also reported the deficit of $\nu_\mu$ events.
What will happen if the $\nu_\mu$ deficit is due to neutrino oscillations

Long enough to oscillate

Not long enough to oscillate

We should observe a deficit of upward going $\nu_\mu$'s!
We needed much larger detector. $\Rightarrow$ Super-Kamiokande
Discovery of neutrino oscillations
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Super-Kamiokande detector

50,000 ton water Cherenkov detector (22,500 ton fiducial volume)

More than 20 times larger mass

~120 collaborators from:

Canada, China, Japan, South Korea, Spain, USA

(based on the 2015 papers)
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Beginning of the Super-Kamiokande collaboration between Japan and USA

@ Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, (Probably) 1991 or 1992
Filling water in Super-Kamiokande

Jan. 1996
Atmospheric neutrino events observed in Super-K (1)

Single Cherenkov ring event

Multi Cherenkov ring event
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Atmospheric neutrino events observed in Super-K (2)

Partially contained event

Signal in the outer detector

Upward going muon event

All these events are used in the analysis. Collaborative work of many (young) people!
Super-Kamiokande concluded that the observed zenith angle dependent deficit (and the other supporting data) gave evidence for neutrino oscillations.
These experiments observed atmospheric neutrinos and confirmed neutrino oscillations.
Recent results and the future
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Oscillating neutrino
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Really oscillations

It was very nice to see that approximately half of the long traveling $\nu_\mu$'s disappear. However, we wanted to really confirm neutrino “oscillations”.

A dip is seen around $L/E = 500$ km/GeV. ➔ Really oscillations (2004) !!
Data updates and neutrino masses and mixing angles

These data tell us:

1. Heaviest neutrino mass is approximately \(10,000,000\) times smaller than the electron mass (which is the lightest particle except for neutrinos).

2. \(\nu_\mu\)'s oscillate maximally to \(\nu_\tau\)'s, which is really surprising. We want to understand why.
Neutrino oscillation experiments: Past, Present and Future

“Atmospheric $\nu_\mu$ deficit” (around 1990)

“Discovery of neutrino oscillations” (1990’s)

“Long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments” (~2000’s)

“3 flavor oscillations” (2010’s)

“future oscillation experiments” (2020’s ?)

Our understanding on neutrino oscillations have been improving tremendously! We still have to understand neutrinos more!

(These are not the complete list. Sorry... For the solar neutrino part, please see the presentation by Prof. Art McDonald.)
Summary

• Unexpected muon-neutrino deficit in the atmospheric neutrino flux was observed in Kamiokande (1988).

• Subsequently, in 1998, Super-Kamiokande discovered neutrino oscillations, which shows that neutrinos have mass.

• I feel that I have been extremely lucky, because I have been involved in the excitement of this discovery from the beginning.

• The discovery of non-zero neutrino masses opened a window to study physics beyond the Standard Model of elementary particle physics, probably that of the Grand Unification of elementary particle interactions.

• There are still many things to be observed in neutrinos. Further studies of neutrinos might give us fundamental information for the understanding of the nature, such as the origin of the matter in the Universe.
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