<u>Tip #19</u> Comparisons

Faulty comparisons are one of the most common problems in scientific writing, usually because the comparison is ambiguous or incomplete. Always be sure that the reader can clearly and unequivocally understand what things are being compared. Of course, the things being compared must, in principle, be of equal nature.

1. ORIGINAL 1. REVISED I changed my title from my last I changed my title from that of my last presentation. presentation. I am using a different presentation from my (the) last one. 2. 2. REVISED ORIGINAL Sapporo is farther north from Sapporo is farther north from Tokyo Tokyo than Kashiwa-no-ha. than Sapporo is from Kashiwa-no-ha. Sapporo is farther north from Tokyo than it is from Kashiwa-no-ha. 3. ORIGINAL 3. REVISED

<u>Goggles with rubber bands</u> are <u>safer than</u> temples or shanks.

temple: a sidepiece of a frame for eyeglasses that extends along the temple and over the ear.

<u>Goggles with rubber bands</u> are <u>safer</u> <u>than</u> <u>goggles with temples or shanks</u>.

Goggles with rubber bands are safer than <u>those with</u> temple or shanks.

4. ORIGINAL

The <u>efficiency</u> was somewhat <u>lower than</u> the <u>previous studies</u> on mitochondria using photoluminescence. 4. REVISED

The <u>efficiency (measured in this</u> <u>experiment)</u> was somewhat <u>lower than</u> the <u>efficiency of previous studies</u> on mitochondria using photoluminescence.

The efficiency was somewhat lower than <u>that of</u> previous studies on mitochondria using photoluminescence.

5. ORIGINAL

<u>Our results are consistent with</u> <u>Ruhl 2012</u>. 5. REVISED

<u>Our results</u> are <u>consistent with</u> the <u>results of Ruhl</u> 2012.

Our results are consistent with <u>those of</u> Ruhl 2012.

6. ORIGINAL

Our <u>first study</u> examined <u>4 pilot</u> <u>whales in captivity compared to</u> our <u>second study</u>.

6. REVISED

Our <u>first study</u> examined <u>4 pilot whales</u> in captivity <u>compared to</u> <u>10 pilot whales</u> in natural environment in <u>our second</u> <u>study</u>.

7. ORIGINAL

This may indicate that the translocation efficiency of X per single turnover of Y was enhanced in the <u>mutant compared to</u> the <u>wild type</u>.

7. REVISED

This may indicate that <u>the translocation</u> <u>efficiency</u> of X per single turnover of Y was enhanced in the mutant <u>compared</u> <u>to the translocation efficiency of X per</u> <u>single turnover of Y in</u> the wild type.

This may indicate that <u>the translocation</u> <u>efficiency</u> of X per single turnover of Y was enhanced in the mutant <u>compared</u> <u>to the translocation efficiency in</u> the wild type.

This may indicate that the translocation efficiency of X per single turnover of Y was enhanced in the mutant compared to <u>that of</u> the wild type.

8. ORIGINAL

Healthy turtles in captivity were used as controls in the present study of the swimming behavior of turtle T001. Turtles under natural conditions were monitored by using animal-borne recorders to examine whether 1) the smaller area of forelimbs lower the swimming ability of T001 without the artificial fins compared to the healthy turtles, and 2) the swimming ability of T001 with the artificial fin compared to the healthy turtles.

8A. REVISED

Healthy turtles in captivity were used as controls in the present study of the swimming behavior of turtle T001. Turtles under natural conditions were monitored by using animal-borne recorders to examine whether 1) the smaller area of forelimbs lower the swimming ability of T001without the artificial fins compared to the swimming ability of the healthy turtles, and 2) the swimming ability of T001with the artificial fin compared to the swimming ability of the healthy turtles.

9B. REVISED

Healthy turtles in captivity were used as controls in the present study of the swimming behavior of turtle T001. Turtles under natural conditions were monitored by using animal-borne recorders to examine whether 1) the smaller area of forelimbs lower the swimming ability of T001without the artificial fins compared to <u>that of</u> the healthy turtles, and 2) the swimming ability of T001with the artificial fin compared to <u>that of</u> the healthy turtles.